

ORGANIZATION SUPPORT GRANT SCORING CRITERIA

A strong application will provide compelling reasons for the Community Foundation to invest in this organization.

ORGANIZATION AND COMMUNITY *(maximum 5 points)*

- Organization provides value to the local community
- Organization has significant support from the community
- Organization effectively utilizes relevant resources, connections, and networks both in the community and more broadly

ORGANIZATIONAL IMPACT IN COMMUNITY *(maximum 5 points)*

- Organization's work has measurable impact given the organization's size and longevity
- Organization has both short-term and long-term goals and a plan for achieving them
- Organization plan for coming year reflects both current realities and vision for its future

IMPACT OF PROPOSED PROJECT OR IMPACT OF REQUESTED FUNDING *(maximum 5 points)*

- Anticipated impact clearly stated/appropriate
- Anticipated impact is aligned with organization's mission/goals

ORGANIZATION LEADERSHIP AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT *(maximum 5 points)*

- Organization has existing strong governance practices in place
- Organization budget is balanced and appropriate to age, circumstances, and size

ORGANIZATION EQUITY PRACTICES *(maximum 5 points)*

- Organization collects and has shared current organizational demographics for both board and staff in this application
- Organization's commitment to equity and justice is reflected in their self-description and strategic documents
- Organization's commitment to equity is reflected in their internal operations
- Organization's commitment to equity is reflected in how they serve the community

Total Score *(maximum 25 points) **

** See next page for additional information about scoring matrix values.*

SCORING MATRIX VALUES

SCORE	MEANING
1	UNACCEPTABLE RESPONSE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ None provided ▪ Demonstrating a significant misunderstanding of the question ▪ Not meeting the criteria even to a minimum extent
2	WEAK RESPONSE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Meeting certain aspects to a minimum extent but fails in others ▪ Little evidence of ability to meet or deliver to the proposed criteria
3	FAIR RESPONSE AS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Meeting the majority but not all aspects of the criteria ▪ Adequate evidence of ability to meet or deliver to the proposed criteria
4	GOOD RESPONSE: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Meeting all aspects of the criteria ▪ Comprehensive, clear proposal demonstrating a good understanding of the criteria ▪ Clear evidence of ability to meet or deliver to the proposed criteria
5	RESPONSE WHICH EXCEEDS CRITERIA: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Materially exceeding the criteria, through a creative or innovative response or where additional 'added value' areas have been identified ▪ Clear evidence of ability to exceed the proposed criteria